

## **Estimates Committee 2003** **Questions Taken On Notice**

( 01/10/2002 to 01/10/2004 )

Date: 06/25/2003 Output:  
Subject: Budget Paper No 4, Capital Works Program Sub Output:

**From: Mr BURKE to Honourable Loraine BRAHAM**

---

**Question:** **1-8**  
I refer you to Budget Paper No 4, Budget 2002-03, Capital Works Program, page 13 shows a rebate of \$144 000 from 2001-02 and new works of \$610 000, a total capital works program of \$754 000.

How can you reconcile these figures when Budget Paper No 4 for this new year, 2003- 04, shows \$41 000 spent, yet your program for the year budgeted was \$754 000?]

**Answer:**  
Answered On: 06/27/2003  
Answer: The question as stated is not reconcilable because the amounts referred to relate to two completely different items in the Budget Papers.

Page 13 of Budget Paper 4 relates to Minor New Works, which is a subset of Capital Works (Minor New Works are new works from \$0 to \$150 000).

The \$41,000 in Budget Paper 3 on page 39 relates to Capital Appropriation for Capital Expenditure and is not Capital Works.

### Capital Works

Appropriation for the Minor New Works program is maintained in Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Environment (DIPE) Budget.

Budget Paper 4 Budget 2002-03 Capital Works Program, page 13 shows that the agency had a Capital New Works program of \$754 000. \$253 000 of this was revoted into 2003-04 leaving an estimated actual expenditure for 2002-03 of \$501 000.

### Capital Appropriation

In regard to the capital appropriation shown on page 39 of Budget Paper 3 this is used to purchase capital items greater than \$5 000. In 2002/03 three-replacement photocopiers and a new franking machine were purchased at a net cost of \$41 000. The capital expenditure shown on the page 39 is \$67 000, which also includes \$24 000 for the purchase of a replacement server for Parliament house, which was funded out of Use of Balances.

## **QUESTION ON NOTICE 1.8**

The question as stated is not reconcilable because the amounts referred to relate to two completely different items in the Budget Papers.

Page 13 of Budget Paper 4 relates to Minor New Works, which is a subset of Capital Works (Minor New Works are new works from \$0 to \$150 000).

The \$41,000 in Budget Paper 3 on page 39 relates to Capital Appropriation for Capital Expenditure and is not Capital Works.

### **Capital Works**

Appropriation for the Minor New Works program is maintained in Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Environment (DIPE) Budget.

Budget Paper 4 Budget 2002-03 Capital Works Program, page 13 shows that the agency had a Capital New Works program of \$754 000. \$253 000 of this was revoted into 2003-04 leaving an estimated actual expenditure for 2002-03 of \$501 000.

### **Capital Appropriation**

In regard to the capital appropriation shown on page 39 of Budget Paper 3 this is used to purchase capital items greater than \$5 000. In 2002/03 three-replacement photocopiers and a new franking machine were purchased at a net cost of \$41 000. The capital expenditure shown on the page 39 is \$67 000, which also includes \$24 000 for the purchase of a replacement server for Parliament house, which was funded out of Use of Balances.