# WRITTEN QUESTIONS 7th Assembly

### 12/01/1996

88.

Ghan Preservation Society, Funding and Transport Hall of Fame

## Ms. HICKEY to MINISTER for TRANSPORT and WORKS

## QUESTION

- 1. What funding has been provided to:
  - (a) the Ghan Preservation Society; and
  - (b) the Transport Hall of Fame,
  - during the following financial years -
    - (i) 1990-91;
    - (ii) 1991-92;
    - (iii) 1992-93;
    - (iv) 1993-94;
    - (v) 1994-95; and
    - (vi) 1995-96 to date.
- 2. In each of the above instances, what was:
  - (a) the amount of funds provided;
  - (b) the reason for the funding; and
  - (c) the basis on which the funding was provided (e.g., loan, grant, etc.).
- 3. What tenders, loans or grants have been provided by the Department of Transport and Works during the above financial years for reports on either:
  - (a) the establishment; or
  - (b) the direction,

of the Ghan Preservation Society and/or the Transport Hall of Fame projects.

- 4. Was a sum of approximately \$50,000.00 provided during the 1993-94 financial year for a consultancy or report to be conducted into the Macdonnell Siding Project; if so, what were the specific details required by the Department of Transport and Works of the successful applicant for that consultancy.
- 5. If the answer to question 4 is yes:
  - (a) was the funding provided as a grant to one consultant; or

(b) were potential consultants invited to provide a submission justifying their applications.

6. If the answer to question 5(b) is yes, how many prospective consultants applied to use

that funding and who was awarded the consultancy.

- 7. Is the Minister able to provide a copy of the consultancy report.
- 8. Have any other consultancies into the Macdonnell Siding project and/or the Transport Hall of Fame been funded since the report referred to in question 7 was produced; if so:
  - (a) which consultancies;
  - (b) which projects were funded; and
  - (c) how much funding was provided.
- 9. Is the Minister able to provide copies of any reports produced in respect of question 8.

#### ANSWER

Answered on 15/04/96

1. No funding has been provided directly to those organisations by the Department of Transport & Works.

All funding and expenditure has been through the Government's Capital Works Program for the Development of a Transport Museum which incorporated the Ghan Preservation Society and the Road Transport Hall of Fame.

(i)	1990-91:		
(ii)	1991-92:		
(iii)	1992-93:		
(iv)	1993-94:	TRA0125 -	\$755,000.00
(v)	1994-95:	TRA0111 -	\$ 40,000.00
(vi)	1995-96:	TRA0018 -	\$ 35,000.00
		MAA0013 -	\$100,000.00 (Recoverable Works)

In addition to the above, a grader has been on loan to the Ghan Preservation Society throughout the period in question.

2. \$755,000.00 - Dismantle, relocate and re-erect shed at MacDonnell Siding complete with services and site works.

\$40,000.00 - Lighting and power, secure the Restoration Shed, repairs to station.

\$35,000.00 - Provision of fire services & upgrading to standards.

\$100,000.00 - Construct concrete driveways, stormwater pits & drainage & various minor works.

The work was undertaken by contractors following Public Tenders called by the Department of Transport & Works.

- 3. A consultancy was let by the Department of Transport & Works for the MacDonnell Siding Strategy Plan.
- A consultancy was let as above to Platt Keeler Architects in the amount of \$46,419.00 for the MacDonnell Siding Strategy Plan.
   Specific details required of the consultants were:

Relevant experience Track record Technical skills Qualifications of proposed staff and sub-consultants Understanding of brief: Staging, Management, Financial, Theme & Marketing. Methodology Time Price. 5. Six consultants were invited to provide submissions

Acer Vaughan Gutteridge Haskins & Davey Pecorari & Assoc. Woodhead Australia Platt Keeler Architects MacDonald Brain & Assoc.

6. Of the six consultants invited, fee offers were received from three consultants as follows:

Woodhead Australia Platt Keeler Architects MacDonald Brain & Associates

The three offers were assessed using the Value Selection Criteria the resultant weightings were as follows.

Woodhead Australia	77
Platt Keeler	83
MacDonald Brain	24

As a result of the above a consultancy was awarded to Platt Keeler Architects who utilised Acer Vaughan, Bill Butler, Technical Services Group and Norm White as sub-consultants.

- 7. A copy of the consultancy report is available.
- 8. Design and Documentation for Tender purposes:
  - Platt Keeler Concept Design (CFD0150002) \$50,660.00
    Dismantling, re-locate and re-erect shed at MacDonnell Siding including access road and carpark.
  - (ii) Technical Group N.T. MacDonnell Siding Display cabinets for souvenir shop (RDD0193119) \$8,663.00.

All the above consultancies were funded from the Department of Transport & Works consultants allocation in the Capital Works Program.

9. There were no reports produced in respect of question 8.