

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF THE NORTHERN TERRITORY

WRITTEN QUESTION

Ms Purick to Minister for Lands and Planning

Development Consent Authority

For the year 2008 and 2009 years, could you advise:

1. How many times did the Development Consent Authority (DCA) meet in each year for each of the main regions of the Northern Territory being Darwin, Litchfield Shire, Katherine, Tennant Creek and Alice Springs.

DCA Divisions	2008	2009
Alice Springs	11	11
Tennant Creek	2	2
Katherine	2	10
Litchfield	10	15
Palmerston	8	11
Darwin	12	18

Note

- These figure do not include Out of Session meetings when matters are considered by the DCA without convening a formal meeting.

2. What was the total annual cost to hold DCA meetings in each year for each region.

DCA Divisions	2008	2009
Alice Springs	\$27,918	\$14,348
Tennant Creek ¹		
Katherine	\$5,160	\$6,196
Litchfield ²		
Palmerston	\$1,213	\$1,604
Darwin	\$172,151	\$207,945

Notes

1. Annual costs for Tennant Creek are included in Alice Springs.
 2. Annual costs for Litchfield are included in Darwin.
- The above figures are for calendar year and will vary from figures previously reported to Estimates Committee and in the Annual Report, which are based on financial year.
 - Fortnightly meetings of the Darwin and Litchfield DCA divisions commenced in July 2009

3. How many applications were received for each year by each region.

DCA Divisions	2008	2009
Alice Springs	116	144
Tennant Creek	4	5
Katherine	36	64
Litchfield	79	96
Palmerston	101	102
Darwin	469	454

Notes

- The above figures are for calendar year and will vary from figures previously reported to Estimates Committee and in the Annual Report, which are based on financial year.
- The above figures are for development applications only. Other types of applications under the *Planning Act* are generally dealt with under delegation and are not considered at DCA meetings.
- The above figures are extracted from the Integrated Land Information System (ILIS).

4. How many applications were approved in each year by region.

DCA Divisions	2008	2009
Alice Springs	107	127
Tennant Creek	4	5
Katherine	36	51
Litchfield	63	62
Palmerston	96	87
Darwin	438	384

Notes

- The above figures are for calendar year and will vary from figures previously reported to Estimates Committee and in the Annual Report, which are based on financial year.
- The above figures are extracted from the Integrated Land Information System (ILIS).

5. How many applications were dismissed for each year for each region.

DCA Divisions	2008	2009
Alice Springs	4	3
Tennant Creek	0	0
Katherine	0	3
Litchfield	7	4
Palmerston	3	1
Darwin	5	8

Notes

- The above figures are for calendar year and will vary from figures previously reported to Estimates Committee and in the Annual Report, which are based on financial year.
- The above figures are extracted from the Integrated Land Information System (ILIS).

6. How many applications were withdrawn for each year for each region.

DCA Divisions	2008	2009
Alice Springs	4	2
Tennant Creek	0	0
Katherine	3	3
Litchfield	11	4
Palmerston	4	1
Darwin	12	8

Notes

- The above figures are for calendar year and will vary from figures previously reported to Estimates Committee and in the Annual Report, which are based on financial year.
- The above figures are extracted from the Integrated Land Information System (ILIS).

7. How many applications were resubmitted for each region for each year.

No figures are available for this question. Once a development is withdrawn by an applicant it is no longer valid and any revised proposal is recorded as a new application.

8. How many applications were received for re-zoning for each year for each region.

DCA Divisions	2008	2009
Alice Springs	6	10
Tennant Creek	1	0
Katherine	0	2
Litchfield	4	8
Palmerston	1	12
Darwin	7	21

Notes

- The above figures are for calendar year and will vary from figures previously reported to Estimates Committee and in the Annual Report, which are based on financial year.
- The above figures are extracted from the Integrated Land Information System (ILIS).

9. How many applications for rezoning were approved for each year for each region.

DCA Divisions	2008	2009
Alice Springs	2	8
Tennant Creek	1	0
Katherine	0	3
Litchfield	2	2
Palmerston	1	2
Darwin	3	17

Notes

- The above figures are for calendar year and will vary from figures previously reported to Estimates Committee and in the Annual Report, which are based on financial year.
- The above figures are extracted from the Integrated Land Information System (ILIS).

10. How many applications for rezoning were dismissed for each year for each region.

DCA Divisions	2008	2009
Alice Springs	1	1
Tennant Creek	0	1
Katherine	0	1
Litchfield	0	0
Palmerston	0	0
Darwin	0	1

Notes

- The above figures are for calendar year and will vary from figures previously reported to Estimates Committee and in the Annual Report, which are based on financial year.
- The above figures are extracted from the Integrated Land Information System (ILIS).

11. How many applications for rezoning were withdrawn for each year for each region.

DCA Divisions	2008	2009
Alice Springs	1	0
Tennant Creek	0	0
Katherine	0	0
Litchfield	0	1
Palmerston	0	0
Darwin	0	0

Notes

- The above figures are for calendar year and will vary from figures previously reported to Estimates Committee and in the Annual Report, which are based on financial year.
 - The above figures are extracted from the Integrated Land Information System (ILIS).
-