

**Question No: 29**

**Question: Sale of Portion 1110 Hundred of Bagot**

**Date: 30/04/91**

**Member: Mr COLLINS**

**To: MINISTER for LANDS and HOUSING**

With reference to the Minister's answer to Question on Notice, No 5 -

1. Did Australian National Railways have a lease with the Uellendahls which was current at the time of sale to Amphora Pty Ltd in September 1988. If so, what happened to that lease.
2. To whom did the Australian National Railways sell the property.
3. Would a title search show that the property was firstly put into the Uellendahls' name and then transferred to Amphora Pty Ltd. If so, does this suggest that the Australian National Railways sold to the Uellendahls and not to Amphora Pty Ltd.
4. Did the Uellendahls receive no money from Amphora Pty Ltd and have they been dispossessed of the land. If so, will the minister examine all matters relating to the dispossession of the Uellendahls from their lease.

**ANSWER**

1. There is no record of Australian National Railways entering into a lease with Mr and Mrs Uellendahl over the property known as NT Portion 1110 Hundred of Bagot.
2. On 9 September 1988, Australian National Railways sold the property by transferring ownership to H. & F. Uellendahl for a consideration of \$100 000.
3. A Title Search of this property shows the transfer from Australian National to Uellendahls on 9 September 1988. Forty minutes later the property again transferred from the Uellendahls to Allora Pty Ltd for a consideration of the sum of \$100 000. In November 1990, Allora Pty Ltd changed its name to Amphora Pty Ltd and this company is the current owner of this property.
4. From the dealings registered on the title at the Land Titles Office, Mr and Mrs Uellendahl sold their property to Allora Pty Ltd (Amphora Pty Ltd). The registration against the title shows a sale of the land. Any other matters of dispute between the parties would need to be settled at law.

It should also be noted that as Minister for Lands and Housing, I have no jurisdiction over freehold land. Any disputes that arise as a result of dealings on freehold land should be resolved at law.