

From: Karen Schoen
To: [EPSC EPSC](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Water Amendment Bill 2019
Date: Wednesday, 6 March 2019 9:29:56 AM

Dear Economic Scrutiny Subcommittee,

Thank you for the opportunity to raise my concerns with the Water Amendment Bill 2019.

It seems the frackers won't be held accountable for ruining aquifers. Relying on boar water for my lifestyle, I'd like to know WHO will cover relocation costs or fund a rainwater tank for me if my water becomes toxic?! Even if the frackers were held responsible, what good would it do? No amount of money can remedy a polluted water way/body, compromised farming, demoralised, ill constituents etc. Surely you know the risks!?! Wake up! It won't be long until Everybody will be made accountable for crimes against humanity. What side of the fence do you want to be on then?

In the meantime, I'm very concerned with the drafting of section 17.2B.

17.2B currently reads that a fracking company is not liable for offences if they pollute ground water with fracking waste water during the process of hydraulic fracturing. This is absolutely unacceptable. The definition of ground water in the Act includes aquifers. This section must be updated to ensure a fracking company cannot hide behind 17.2B to avoid pollution liability if they pollute an aquifer with fracking waste while fracking.

This is made worse by the current Water Act at 7(2)(b), where the Act allows water pollution 'confined within the mining site or petroleum site'. It is critical that the site is clearly defined, long term movement of pollution is considered, and that polluting an aquifer at a fracking site is a high order offence.

I am also concerned that the offences stepped out in 17A subsections (1)-(4) do not reflect modern standards for environmental offences. As drafted, in order to have been found to cause an offence, it must be proven that the fracking company had knowledge or intention to cause the offence, or was reckless in behaviour.

It's very difficult to prove intent. It's also critical that fracking companies are accountable when they make mistakes and cause accidents that pollute water. Fracking is a risky industry. These are not best practice environmental offences. They are not even consistent with other offences in the Act which were amended in late 2018. Modern standards require a strict liability offence, the reversal of the burden of proof, and increased penalty amounts. Section 17A must be redrafted to reflect these standards.

Finally, I'm very disappointed that these ad hoc changes to the Water Act are happening without a chance for Territorians to see the full collection of changes being proposed. There are supposed to be further changes in regulation that relate to water protection in the Codes of Practice under the Petroleum Act and Petroleum (Environment) Regulations. But the community hasn't had a chance to see these yet. We should have had ample opportunity to read the full extent of all the changes, alongside considering changes to the Water Act.

There has been very little public consultation or community meetings to get into the details. There have been no remote community consultations on these matters. The community has not been actively approached for interactive feedback on one of the most important legal and policy challenges facing regional areas of the Northern Territory: fracking.

We request that the Subcommittee recommends far more meaningful consultation to hear from Territorians into the future.

Thank you for the opportunity to have my say on this Bill.

Yours sincerely,
Karen Schoen

This email was sent by Karen Schoen via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding

issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Karen provided an email address [REDACTED] which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Karen Schoen at [REDACTED].

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co

To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html